

Office Action Mailed 11/07/2005
 Amendment Dated March 7, 2006
 Examiner Wing F. Chan
 Serial No. 10/716,972
 Docket: TEL 001 P2 CI 5

REMARKS

Applicants kindly thank Examiner Chan for his helpful guidance and suggestions regarding this application during various conferences on March 6 and 7, 2006. During the conversation the claims and current rejections were discussed. Applicants have amended the independent claim 49 as shown in order to put the case in condition for allowance. Various dependent claims have also been amended to overcome other rejections, informalities and to further focus these claims on various features.

On page 2 of the Office Action the Examiner rejected various claims due to informalities. Applicants have amended the claims as shown and believe that they are now in good form.

In paragraphs 5 and 6 of the Office Action the Examiner rejected various claims as being unpatentable over various combinations of Fields (U.S. 4,440,724) in view of Baloga et al. (U.S. 5,282,341), Judd et al. (U.S. 4,890,314) and/or Nitta (U.S. 5,437,306). For the reasons discussed in Applicants' prior amendments, in view of the claims as now presented and for the following reasons Applicants believe that all rejected claims stand in condition for allowance.

None of the references of record teach of the method of providing first and second plurality of components that are assembled to combine first and second suites, respectively, as recited in the only independent claim 49. The claim further

Office Action Mailed 11/07/2005
 Amendment Dated March 7, 2006
 Examiner Wing F. Chan
 Serial No. 10/716,972
 Docket: TEL 001 P2 CI 5

focuses on the feature that the enabling step comprises the step of enhancing data associated with at least one of the first substantially life-size image or second substantially life-size image to increase a resolution thereof before it is displayed on at least one of the first or second displays, respectively. Applicants respectfully direct the Examiner's attention to Applicants' prior issued parent patents, at least one of which includes a similar enhancing step.

For all the foregoing reasons, Applicants believe that these dependent claims are not obvious in view of the references, when viewed alone or in combination, and should be allowed.

If the Examiner feels that this amendment does not place the case in condition for allowance, then Applicants respectfully request an interview with the Examiner prior to the issuance of any further Office Action.

Applicant is filing concurrently under separate cover a request for a one month extension of time.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required by this paper, or to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 50-1287. Applicants hereby provide a general request for any extension of time which may be required at any time during the prosecution of the application. The Commissioner is also authorized to charge any fees which have not been previously paid for by check and which are required during

Office Action Mailed 11/07/2005
Amendment Dated March 7, 2006
Examiner Wing F. Chan
Serial No. 10/716,972
Docket: TEL 001 P2 CI 5


the prosecution of this application to Deposit Account No. 50-1287. (Should Deposit Account No. 50-1287 be deficient, please charge any further deficiencies to Deposit Account No. 10-0220).

Applicants invite the Examiner to contact the undersigned via telephone with any questions or comments regarding this case.

Reconsideration and favorable action are respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

JACOX, MECKSTROTH & JENKINS

By: 
Matthew R. Jenkins
Reg. No. 34,844

2310 Far Hills Building
Dayton, OH 45419
Tel: 937-298-2811
Fax: 937-298-7418
March 7, 2006

/tlf